

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	MICHAEL GEORG PAULIKS	G PAULIKS ) Group Art Unit: 2172	
Serial No.:	09/922,058	) (10up 7ut Omt. 2172	
Filed:	August 3, 2001	) Examiner: C. TRUONG	
For:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MASTER PLANNING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT	) ) Confirmation No.: 7585	

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 RECEIVED

OCT 1 9 2004

**Technology Center 2100** 

### **DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.131**

- 1. We are the inventors of the invention claimed in the above-identified patent application.
- 2. We conceived in the United States the invention disclosed and claimed in the above-identified patent application prior to October 29, 2001 and then worked on diligently reducing the invention to practice in the United States prior to October 29, 2001 and further diligently reduced the invention to practice in the United States by filing the above-identified application.
- 3. As evidence in support of this prior conception and reduction to practice, submitted herewith is the following evidence of activity done in the United States.

- (a) Exhibit A is an initial disclosure document submitted prior to October 29, 2001 to the World Wide Patent Tracking system for the Assignee, International Business Machines, Inc. This submission supports conception of the invention prior to October 29, 2001.
- (b) Exhibit B is an email from co-inventor, Michael G. Pauliks to co-inventors, acknowledging submission of the invention disclosure and is dated prior to October 29, 2001. The email further supports conception prior to October 29, 2001.
- (c) With respect to reduction to practice, Exhibit C is a guide used in the creation of software code for implementing the invention. Exhibit C predates October 29, 2001. Page 5 of the document describes the demand attributes as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 6 defines priority values as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (d) Also in support of reduction to practice, Exhibit D is a document that describes the demand attributes, priority values, rules database, and interactions therebetween in support of at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Exhibit D is dated prior to October 29, 2001.
- (e) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit E is a Lotus Freelance document that predates October 29, 2001. Page 1 of Exhibit E illustrates priority-assignment-rules attributes to demand statements as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 2 of Exhibit E describes and illustrates the interconnection between the various attributes to the priority-assignment-rules table via a ruler component as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (f) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit F is one of several test scripts used to test the priority layering functionality of the invention. Exhibit F predates October 29, 2001. The applicants submit that, collectively, Exhibits C, D, E, and F clearly demonstrate a reduction to practice prior to October 29, 2001.
- 4. The undersigned declare that all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 101 of Title 18 of

Date:	
Date: 9/24/04	Michael Georg Pauliks  Michael Lee Bundy
Date:	Thomas Robert Ervolina
Date:	Darrell Lavern Harrod
Date:	James Kanuch
Date:	Amanda Kotecki
Date:	Grant Lindsey Mackay
Date:	Sarah Elizabeth Santo

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	MICHAEL GEORG PAULIKS	)
Serial No.:	09/922,058	) Group Art Unit: 2172
Filed:	August 3, 2001	) Examiner: C. TRUONG
For:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MASTER PLANNING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT	) ) Confirmation No.: 7585

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 RECEIVED

OCT 1 9 2004

Technology Center 2100

#### **DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.131**

- 1. We are the inventors of the invention claimed in the above-identified patent application.
- 2. We conceived in the United States the invention disclosed and claimed in the above-identified patent application prior to October 29, 2001 and then worked on diligently reducing the invention to practice in the United States prior to October 29, 2001 and further diligently reduced the invention to practice in the United States by filing the above-identified application.
- 3. As evidence in support of this prior conception and reduction to practice, submitted herewith is the following evidence of activity done in the United States.

- (a) Exhibit A is an initial disclosure document submitted prior to October 29, 2001 to the World Wide Patent Tracking system for the Assignee, International Business Machines, Inc. This submission supports conception of the invention prior to October 29, 2001.
- (b) Exhibit B is an email from co-inventor, Michael G. Pauliks to co-inventors, acknowledging submission of the invention disclosure and is dated prior to October 29, 2001. The email further supports conception prior to October 29, 2001.
- (c) With respect to reduction to practice, Exhibit C is a guide used in the creation of software code for implementing the invention. Exhibit C predates October 29, 2001. Page 5 of the document describes the demand attributes as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 6 defines priority values as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (d) Also in support of reduction to practice, Exhibit D is a document that describes the demand attributes, priority values, rules database, and interactions therebetween in support of at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Exhibit D is dated prior to October 29, 2001.
- (e) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit E is a Lotus Freelance document that predates October 29, 2001. Page 1 of Exhibit E illustrates priority-assignment-rules attributes to demand statements as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 2 of Exhibit E describes and illustrates the interconnection between the various attributes to the priority-assignment-rules table via a ruler component as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (f) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit F is one of several test scripts used to test the priority layering functionality of the invention. Exhibit F predates October 29, 2001. The applicants submit that, collectively, Exhibits C, D, E, and F clearly demonstrate a reduction to practice prior to October 29, 2001.
- 4. The undersigned declare that all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 101 of Title 18 of

Date:	
	Michael Georg Pauliks
Date:	Michael Lee Bundy
Date: 9/28/2004	Thomas Robert Ervolina
Date:	Darrell Lavern Harrod
Date:	James Kanuch
Date:	Amanda Kotecki
Date:	Grant Lindsey Mackay
Date:	Sarah Elizabeth Santo

Mail Stop F Commissio	RCE ner for Patents	Technology Center 2100
		OCT 1 9 2004
For:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MASTER PLANNING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT	) Confirmation NRECEIVED
Filed:	August 3, 2001	) Examiner: C. TRUONG
Serial No.:	09/922,058	) )
Applicant:	MICHAEL GEORG PAULIKS	) ) Group Art Unit: 2172

### **DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.131**

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

- Michael Georg Pauliks, Michael Lee Bundy, Thomas Robert Ervolina, Darrell Lavern, James Kanuch, Amanda Kotecki, Grant Lindsey Mackay, and Sarah Elizabeth Santo declare and say that:
- 1. We are the inventors of the invention claimed in the above-identified patent application.
- 2. We conceived in the United States the invention disclosed and claimed in the above-identified patent application prior to October 29, 2001 and then worked on diligently reducing the invention to practice in the United States prior to October 29, 2001 and further diligently reduced the invention to practice in the United States by filing the above-identified application.
- 3. As evidence in support of this prior conception and reduction to practice, submitted herewith is the following evidence of activity done in the United States.

- (a) Exhibit A is an initial disclosure document submitted prior to October 29, 2001 to the World Wide Patent Tracking system for the Assignee, International Business Machines, Inc. This submission supports conception of the invention prior to October 29, 2001.
- (b) Exhibit B is an email from co-inventor, Michael G. Pauliks to co-inventors, acknowledging submission of the invention disclosure and is dated prior to October 29, 2001. The email further supports conception prior to October 29, 2001.
- (c) With respect to reduction to practice, Exhibit C is a guide used in the creation of software code for implementing the invention. Exhibit C predates October 29, 2001. Page 5 of the document describes the demand attributes as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 6 defines priority values as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (d) Also in support of reduction to practice, Exhibit D is a document that describes the demand attributes, priority values, rules database, and interactions therebetween in support of at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Exhibit D is dated prior to October 29, 2001.
- (e) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit E is a Lotus Freelance document that predates October 29, 2001. Page 1 of Exhibit E illustrates priority-assignment-rules attributes to demand statements as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 2 of Exhibit E describes and illustrates the interconnection between the various attributes to the priority-assignment-rules table via a ruler component as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (f) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit F is one of several test scripts used to test the priority layering functionality of the invention. Exhibit F predates October 29, 2001. The applicants submit that, collectively, Exhibits C, D, E, and F clearly demonstrate a reduction to practice prior to October 29, 2001.
- 4. The undersigned declare that all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 101 of Title 18 of

Date:		
		Michael Georg Pauliks
Date:		
		Michael Lee Bundy
Date:	<u> </u>	
		Thomas Robert Ervolina
Date:	Sept 28, 2004	Janel Cavera Harrall
		Darrell Lavern Harrod
Date:		I I/1.
		James Kanuch
Date:		Amanda Kotecki
		Amanda Rotecki
Date:		Grant Lindsey Mackay
		Grain Enland, Machay
Date:		Sarah Elizabeth Santo
		Sarah Elizabeth Sahto

OT 1 4 2004 2004

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	ASSIGNMENT	RECEIVED
For:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MASTER PLANNING PRIORITY	) ) Confirmation No.: 7585
Filed:	August 3, 2001	) Examiner: C. TRUONG
Serial No.:	09/922,058	) Group Art Unit: 2172
Applicant:	MICHAEL GEORG PAULIKS	)

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 OCT 1 9 2004

**Technology Center 2100** 

# **DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.131**

- 1. We are the inventors of the invention claimed in the above-identified patent application.
- 2. We conceived in the United States the invention disclosed and claimed in the above-identified patent application prior to October 29, 2001 and then worked on diligently reducing the invention to practice in the United States prior to October 29, 2001 and further diligently reduced the invention to practice in the United States by filing the above-identified application.
- 3. As evidence in support of this prior conception and reduction to practice, submitted herewith is the following evidence of activity done in the United States.

- (a) Exhibit A is an initial disclosure document submitted prior to October 29, 2001 to the World Wide Patent Tracking system for the Assignee, International Business Machines, Inc. This submission supports conception of the invention prior to October 29, 2001.
- (b) Exhibit B is an email from co-inventor, Michael G. Pauliks to co-inventors, acknowledging submission of the invention disclosure and is dated prior to October 29, 2001. The email further supports conception prior to October 29, 2001.
- (c) With respect to reduction to practice, Exhibit C is a guide used in the creation of software code for implementing the invention. Exhibit C predates October 29, 2001. Page 5 of the document describes the demand attributes as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 6 defines priority values as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (d) Also in support of reduction to practice, Exhibit D is a document that describes the demand attributes, priority values, rules database, and interactions therebetween in support of at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Exhibit D is dated prior to October 29, 2001.
- (e) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit E is a Lotus Freelance document that predates October 29, 2001. Page 1 of Exhibit E illustrates priority-assignment-rules attributes to demand statements as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 2 of Exhibit E describes and illustrates the interconnection between the various attributes to the priority-assignment-rules table via a ruler component as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (f) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit F is one of several test scripts used to test the priority layering functionality of the invention. Exhibit F predates October 29, 2001. The applicants submit that, collectively, Exhibits C, D, E, and F clearly demonstrate a reduction to practice prior to October 29, 2001.
- 4. The undersigned declare that all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 101 of Title 18 of

Date:	
	Michael Georg Pauliks
Date:	Minter D. J.
	Michael Lee Bundy
Date:	Thomas Robert Ervolina
Date:	
abulas	Darrell Lavern Harrett
Date: 4/4/0	James Karlieff W
Date:	
	Amanda Kotecki
Date:	
	Grant Lindsey Mackay
Date:	Cauch Elizabeth Cauta
	Sarah Elizabeth Santo



### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	MICHAEL GEORG PAULIKS	) ) Group Art Unit: 2172
Serial No.:	09/922,058	) (10up Air Ome., 2172
Filed:	August 3, 2001	) Examiner: C. TRUONG
For:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MASTER PLANNING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT	) Confirmation No.: 7585 )

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S.9

#### **DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.131**

Michael Georg Pauliks, Michael Lee Bundy, Thomas Robert Ervolina, Darrell Lavern, James Kanuch, Amanda Kotecki, Grant Lindsey Mackay, and Sarah Elizabeth Santo declare and say that:

- 1. We are the inventors of the invention claimed in the above-identified patent application.
- 2. We conceived in the United States the invention disclosed and claimed in the above-identified patent application prior to October 29, 2001 and then worked on diligently reducing the invention to practice in the United States prior to October 29, 2001 and further diligently reduced the invention to practice in the United States by filing the above-identified application.
- 3. As evidence in support of this prior conception and reduction to practice, submitted herewith is the following evidence of activity done in the United States.

- (a) Exhibit A is an initial disclosure document submitted prior to October 29,
   2001 to the World Wide Patent Tracking system for the Assignee, International Business
   Machines, Inc. This submission supports conception of the invention prior to October 29, 2001.
- (b) Exhibit B is an email from co-inventor, Michael G. Pauliks to co-inventors, acknowledging submission of the invention disclosure and is dated prior to October 29, 2001.

  The email further supports conception prior to October 29, 2001.
- (c) With respect to reduction to practice, Exhibit C is a guide used in the creation of software code for implementing the invention. Exhibit C predates October 29, 2001. Page 5 of the document describes the demand attributes as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 6 defines priority values as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (d) Also in support of reduction to practice, Exhibit D is a document that describes the demand attributes, priority values, rules database, and interactions therebetween in support of at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Exhibit D is dated prior to October 29, 2001.
- (e) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit E is a Lotus Freelance document that predates October 29, 2001. Page 1 of Exhibit E illustrates priority-assignment-rules attributes to demand statements as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 2 of Exhibit E describes and illustrates the interconnection between the various attributes to the priority-assignment-rules table via a ruler component as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (f) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit F is one of several test scripts used to test the priority layering functionality of the invention. Exhibit F predates October 29, 2001. The applicants submit that, collectively, Exhibits C, D, E, and F clearly demonstrate a reduction to practice prior to October 29, 2001.
- 4. The undersigned declare that all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 101 of Title 18 of

YOR920010146US1/I31-0003

Date:	
	Michael Georg Pauliks
Date:	Michael Lee Bundy
Date:	Thomas Robert Ervolina
Date:	Darrell Lavern Harrod
Date:	James Kanuch
Date: 10/11/2004	Amanda Kotecki
Date:	Grant Lindsey Mackay
Date:	Sarah Elizabeth Santo

Applicant:	MICHAEL GEORG PAULIKS	) Group Art Unit: 2172
Serial No.:	09/922,058	A responsible strategic to the con-
Filed:	August 3, 2001	) Examiner: C. TRUONG
For:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MASTER PLANNING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT	) Confirmation No. 7585 RECEIVED
•		OCT 1 9 2004
Mail Stop RC Commissioner		Technology Center 2100

#### **DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.131**

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

- 1. We are the inventors of the invention claimed in the above-identified patent application.
- 2. We conceived in the United States the invention disclosed and claimed in the above-identified patent application prior to October 29, 2001 and then worked on diligently reducing the invention to practice in the United States prior to October 29, 2001 and further diligently reduced the invention to practice in the United States by filing the above-identified application.
- 3. As evidence in support of this prior conception and reduction to practice, submitted herewith is the following evidence of activity done in the United States.

- (a) Exhibit A is an initial disclosure document submitted prior to October 29,
   2001 to the World Wide Patent Tracking system for the Assignee, International Business
   Machines, Inc. This submission supports conception of the invention prior to October 29, 2001.
- (b) Exhibit B is an email from co-inventor, Michael G. Pauliks to co-inventors, acknowledging submission of the invention disclosure and is dated prior to October 29, 2001. The email further supports conception prior to October 29, 2001.
- (c) With respect to reduction to practice, Exhibit C is a guide used in the creation of software code for implementing the invention. Exhibit C predates October 29, 2001. Page 5 of the document describes the demand attributes as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 6 defines priority values as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (d) Also in support of reduction to practice, Exhibit D is a document that describes the demand attributes, priority values, rules database, and interactions therebetween in support of at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Exhibit D is dated prior to October 29, 2001.
- (e) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit E is a Lotus Freelance document that predates October 29, 2001. Page 1 of Exhibit E illustrates priority-assignment-rules attributes to demand statements as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 2 of Exhibit E describes and illustrates the interconnection between the various attributes to the priority-assignment-rules table via a ruler component as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (f) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit F is one of several test scripts used to test the priority layering functionality of the invention. Exhibit F predates October 29, 2001. The applicants submit that, collectively, Exhibits C, D, E, and F clearly demonstrate a reduction to practice prior to October 29, 2001.
- 4. The undersigned declare that all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 101 of Title 18 of

Date:		
		Michael Georg Pauliks
Date:		Michael Lee Bundy
Date:		Thomas Robert Ervolina
Date:		Darrell Lavern Harrod
Date:		James Kanuch
Date:		Amanda Kotecki
Date:	9/27/2004	Stant Lindsey Mackay
Date:	<del></del>	Sarah Elizabeth Santo

		DECEIVE	
For:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MASTER PLANNING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT	) Confirmation No.: 7585	
Filed:	August 3, 2001	) Examiner: C. TRUONG )	
Serial No.:	09/922,058	)	
Applicant:	MICHAEL GEORG PAULIKS	) Oroup Art Unit: 2172	

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

OCT 1 9 2004

**Technology Center 2100** 

### **DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.131**

- We are the inventors of the invention claimed in the above-identified patent 1. application.
- We conceived in the United States the invention disclosed and claimed in the 2. above-identified patent application prior to October 29, 2001 and then worked on diligently reducing the invention to practice in the United States prior to October 29, 2001 and further diligently reduced the invention to practice in the United States by filing the above-identified application.
- As evidence in support of this prior conception and reduction to practice, 3. submitted herewith is the following evidence of activity done in the United States.

- (a) Exhibit A is an initial disclosure document submitted prior to October 29, 2001 to the World Wide Patent Tracking system for the Assignee, International Business Machines, Inc. This submission supports conception of the invention prior to October 29, 2001.
- (b) Exhibit B is an email from co-inventor, Michael G. Pauliks to co-inventors, acknowledging submission of the invention disclosure and is dated prior to October 29, 2001. The email further supports conception prior to October 29, 2001.
- (c) With respect to reduction to practice, Exhibit C is a guide used in the creation of software code for implementing the invention. Exhibit C predates October 29, 2001. Page 5 of the document describes the demand attributes as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 6 defines priority values as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (d) Also in support of reduction to practice, Exhibit D is a document that describes the demand attributes, priority values, rules database, and interactions therebetween in support of at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Exhibit D is dated prior to October 29, 2001.
- (e) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit E is a Lotus Freelance document that predates October 29, 2001. Page 1 of Exhibit E illustrates priority-assignment-rules attributes to demand statements as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32. Page 2 of Exhibit E describes and illustrates the interconnection between the various attributes to the priority-assignment-rules table via a ruler component as provided in at least claims 1, 10, 11, 22, 23, and 32.
- (f) In further support of reduction to practice, Exhibit F is one of several test scripts used to test the priority layering functionality of the invention. Exhibit F predates October 29, 2001. The applicants submit that, collectively, Exhibits C, D, E, and F clearly demonstrate a reduction to practice prior to October 29, 2001.
- 4. The undersigned declare that all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 101 of Title 18 of

Date:		
		Michael Georg Pauliks
Date: _	<del></del>	Mahadi Lan Danda
		Michael Lee Bundy
Date:		
		Thomas Robert Ervolina
Date: _		D 111
		Darrell Lavern Harrod
Date: _		T T/ 1
		James Kanuch
Date: _		A
		Amanda Kotecki
Date: _		
		Grant Lindsey Mackay
Date: _	9/24/04	FILE ELLEN
		Sarah Elizabeth Santo